

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,437	01/18/2000		Tongbi Jiang	M4065.0226/P226	9698	
24998	7590	07/05/2006		EXAMINER		
		IRO MORIN & OS	MITCHELL, JAMES M			
2101 L Stree Washington,		937		ART UNIT PAPER		
,				2813		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/484,437	JIANG, TONGBI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
James M. Mitchell	2813			

	James M.	Mitchell	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION	IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same oving replies tice of App	day as filing a Notice of : (1) an amendment, affe eal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	dvisory Actionate than SIX (b). ONLY CI	on, or (2) the date set forth MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and t shortened star than three r	he corresponding amount tutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	nsideration w);	and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	correspond	ing number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See atta	ached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•	·	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6-9,11,12,14,16-20 and 33-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 41-50.			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or d sufficient	on the date of filing a Noreasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome a	I rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 			-	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 				nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08	or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s) Oul Mitter	hed
7. //d		(SI	CARL WHITEHEAD,	
(V 1741.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although applicant contends that Forray does not show the claimed glassy temperature or use of its adhesive with a solder mask, Forray shows use of a bismaelimide adhesive which is the same material as applicant and therefore establishes a prima facie case of having the same properties. Similarly, because Forray explicitly teaches in paragraph 0048 use of its adhesive with a solder mask, applicant's contention that it does not show such a use is unpersuasive. Lastly, in regards to applicant's filing of a 1.131 declaration, because applicant did not file the declaration timely it shall not be admitted. See MPEP 715.09 [R-3].